### Reproducible builds ecosystem

Where some of us are and some hints where this might be going...

> Holger 'h01ger' Levsen holger@layer-acht.org

ripe72 (Copenhagen, DK) 2016-05-24

### about me

- B8BF 5413 7B09 D35C F026 FE9D 091A B856 069A AA1C
- Debian user since 1995
- Debian contributor since 2001
- Debian developer since 2007
- DebConf organizer, founded the DebConf video team

http://video.debian.net

- Debian-Edu (Debian for education)
- Debian QA (quality assurance)
  - https://piuparts.debian.org
  - https://jenkins.debian.net (1100 jobs continously testing Debian)
- Debian LTS (Long Term Support)
- sudo (apt|dnf) install torbrowser-launcher

### more about me

- B8BF 5413 7B09 D35C F026 FE9D 091A B856 069A AA1C
- 8F03 B243 8719 BA6B 1A35 0EB6 40C2 DEA2 F56C 7256
- Debian Reproducible builds team member
  - within in the team I'm mostly working on https://tests.reproducible-builds.org
- until April 2016 together with Lunar funded by the Linux Foundation
  - applied for extended funding in April 2016...

### Debian reproducible builds team

akira Alexis Bienvenüe Andrew Ayer Asheesh Laroia Ceridwen Chris Lamb Chris West Christoph Berg Daniel Kahn Gillmor Daniel Shahaf David Suarez Dhole Drew Fisher Esa Peuha Fabian Wolff

Guillem Jover Hans-Christoph Steiner Helmut Grohne Holger Levsen Jelmer Vernooij iosch Juan Picca Lunar Mathieu Bridon Mattia Rizzolo Nicolas Boulenguez **Niels** Thykier Niko Tyni Paul Wise

Peter De Wachter Philip Rinn Reiner Herrmann Sascha Steinbiss Satyam Zode Scarlett Clark Stefano Rivera Stéphane Glondu Steven Chamberlain Tom Fitzhenry Valerie Young Valentin I orentz Wookey Ximin Luo

### Debian reproducible builds team

akira Alexis Bienvenüe Andrew Ayer Asheesh Laroia Ceridwen Chris Lamb Chris West Christoph Berg Daniel Kahn Gillmor Daniel Shahaf David Suarez Dhole Drew Fisher Esa Peuha Fabian Wolff

Guillem Jover Hans-Christoph Steiner Helmut Grohne Holger Levsen Jelmer Vernooij iosch Juan Picca Lunar Mathieu Bridon Mattia Rizzolo Nicolas Boulenguez **Niels** Thykier Niko Tyni Paul Wise

Peter De Wachter Philip Rinn Reiner Herrmann Sascha Steinbiss Satyam Zode Scarlett Clark Stefano Rivera Stéphane Glondu Steven Chamberlain Tom Fitzhenry Valerie Young Valentin I orentz Wookey Ximin Luo

### jenkins.debian.net.git contributors

akira Alexander Couzens Levente 'anthraxx' Polyak Antonio Terceiro Axel Beckert Bryan Newbold Chris Lamb Daniel Kahn Gillmor Gabriele Giacone Hans-Christoph Steiner Helmut Grohne Holger Levsen **HW42** James McCoy Joachim Breitner

Johannes 'josch' Schauer Jérémy Bobbio Mattia Rizzolo Niels Thykier Paul Wise Petter Reinholdtsen Philip Hands Reiner Herrmann Samuel Thibault Steven Chamberlain Tails developers Ulrike Uhlig Wolfgang Schweer Wouter Verhelst

### jenkins.debian.net.git contributors

akira Alexander Couzens Levente 'anthraxx' Polyak Antonio Terceiro Axel Beckert Bryan Newbold Chris Lamb Daniel Kahn Gillmor Gabriele Giacone Hans-Christoph Steiner Helmut Grohne Holger Levsen **HW42** James McCoy Joachim Breitner

Johannes 'josch' Schauer Jérémy Bobbio Mattia Rizzolo Niels Thykier Paul Wise Petter Reinholdtsen Philip Hands Reiner Herrmann Samuel Thibault Steven Chamberlain Tails developers Ulrike Uhlig Wolfgang Schweer Wouter Verhelst

### Who are you?

### • Contributed to Free Software?

### Who are you?

- Contributed to Free Software?
- Seen a talk about reproducible builds?



- 2 Common ressources
- 3 Status Debian
- 4) Status Non-Debian World
- 5) Future work
- 6 Getting involved
- Questions, comments, ideas?

### The problem



### Available on media.ccc.de, 31c3

ripe72 8 / 69

Holger 'h01ger' Levsen (Debian)

Reproducible builds ecosystem

 CVE-2002-0083: remote root exploit in sshd, a single bit difference in the binary

- CVE-2002-0083: remote root exploit in sshd, a single bit difference in the binary
- 31c3 talk had a live demo with a kernel module modifying source code in memory only

- CVE-2002-0083: remote root exploit in sshd, a single bit difference in the binary
- 31c3 talk had a live demo with a kernel module modifying source code in memory only
- financial incentives to crack developer machines...

- CVE-2002-0083: remote root exploit in sshd, a single bit difference in the binary
- 31c3 talk had a live demo with a kernel module modifying source code in memory only
- financial incentives to crack developer machines...
- how can you be sure what's running on your machine or on a build daemon network? Do you ever leave your USB3 ports alone?

- CVE-2002-0083: remote root exploit in sshd, a single bit difference in the binary
- 31c3 talk had a live demo with a kernel module modifying source code in memory only
- financial incentives to crack developer machines...
- how can you be sure what's running on your machine or on a build daemon network? Do you ever leave your computers alone?

### Another example from real life

### At a CIA conference in 2012:

#### [edit] (S//NF) Strawhorse: Attacking the MacOS and iOS Software Development Kit

(S) Presenter:

, Sandia National Laboratories

(S//NF) Ken Thompson's gcc attack (described in his 1964 Turing award acceptance speech) motivates the StrawMan work: what can be done of benefit to the US Intelligence Community (IC) if one can make an arbitrary modification to a system compiler or Software Development Kit (SDK)? A (whacked) SDK can provide a subtle injection vector onto standalone developer networks, or it can modify any binary compiled by that SDK. In the past, we have watermarked binaries for attribution, used binaries as an exfiltration mechanism, and inserted Trojans into compiled binaries.

(S//NF) In this talk, we discuss our explorations of the Xcode (4.1) SDK. Xcode is used to compile MacOS X applications and kernel extensions as well as IOS applications. We describe how we use (our whacked) Xcode to do the following things: -Entice all MacOS applications to create a remote backdoor on execution -Modify a dynamic dependency of securityd to load our own library - which rewrites securityd so that no prompt appears when exporting a developer's private key -Embed the developer's private key in all IOS applications -Force all IOS applications to send embedded data to a listening post -Convince all (new) kernel extensions to disable ASLR

(S//NF) We also describe how we modified both the MacOS X updater to install an extra kernel extension (a keylogger) and the Xcode installer to include our SDK whacks.

firstlook.org/theintercept/2015/03/10/

ispy-cia-campaign-steal-apples-secrets/

### The solution

### Promise that anyone can always generate identical binary packages from a given source

### The solution

### We call this:

### "Reproducible builds"

Holger 'h01ger' Levsen (Debian)

Reproducible builds ecosystem

ripe72 12 / 69

### Demo

J

Holger 'h01ger' Levsen (Debian)

 $\bigcirc$ 

### Demo - unreproducible builds

- db4c5c4d6eaec2268eeab750920e34004292ec3a giftrans\_1.12.2-19.dsc
- a931a19e832024f509f7902b2b5560f8e46f004b giftrans\_1.12.2-19.debian.tar.xz
- 816067762fe7d41f2b73f0acd2da0e51a1b93f27 giftrans\_1.12.2.orig.tar.gz
- 97f656caf73a5c73bd86e7e0d7f134c55ad83fc8
  - .1/giftrans\_1.12.2-19\_amd64.deb
- 08211b176c889e8270aa87a1a753b3bc24c6aed0
   .2/giftrans 1.12.2-19 amd64.deb
- 1365e56a2217fa44afe3594333b9aa70fc0dd8d4
  - .3/giftrans\_1.12.2-19\_amd64.deb
- b486c9337968b04b7159c2500c03434cbac6f50b .4/giftrans\_1.12.2-19\_amd64.deb
- 50aef605a36eec64c307812a32553d38f30d1672
   .5/giftrans\_1.12.2-19\_amd64.deb

### Demo - reproducible builds

- db4c5c4d6eaec2268eeab750920e34004292ec3a giftrans\_1.12.2-19.dsc
- a931a19e832024f509f7902b2b5560f8e46f004b giftrans\_1.12.2-19.debian.tar.xz
- 816067762fe7d41f2b73f0acd2da0e51a1b93f27 giftrans\_1.12.2.orig.tar.gz
- 2a7c368a7fb1857b964a53fd53fd39d466e81d3a
   .1/giftrans 1.12.2-19 amd64.deb
- 2a7c368a7fb1857b964a53fd53fd39d466e81d3a
   .2/giftrans\_1.12.2-19\_amd64.deb
- 2a7c368a7fb1857b964a53fd53fd39d466e81d3a
   .3/giftrans\_1.12.2-19\_amd64.deb
- 2a7c368a7fb1857b964a53fd53fd39d466e81d3a
   .4/giftrans\_1.12.2-19\_amd64.deb
- 2a7c368a7fb1857b964a53fd53fd39d466e81d3a
   .5/giftrans\_1.12.2-19\_amd64.deb

# This should become the

### norm.

# This should become the **norm**.

We want to change the meaning of "free software": it's only free software if it's reproducible!



- 2 Common ressources
  - 3 Status Debian
  - 4) Status Non-Debian World
  - 5) Future work
  - 6 Getting involved
  - Questions, comments, ideas?

### reproducible-builds.org

### • https://reproducible-builds.org

### reproducible-builds.org

Provide a verifiable path from source code to binary.

What is it about?

Why does it matter?

Reproducible builds are a set of software development practices which create a verifiable path from human readable source code to the binary code used by computers.

Most aspect of software verification is done on source code, as that is what humans can reasonably understand. But most of the time, computers require software to be first built into long string of numbers to be used. With *reproducible builds*, multiple parties can **redo this process independently** and ensure they **all** get **exactly the same result**. We can thus **grow confidence** than a

Holger 'h01ger' Levsen (Debian)

ripe72 19 / 69

• Continuously testing Debian testing, unstable and experimental

- Continuously testing Debian testing, unstable and experimental
- on amd64 and i386 and armhf

- Continuously testing Debian testing, unstable and experimental
- on amd64 and i386 and armhf
- Also testing: coreboot, OpenWrt, NetBSD, FreeBSD, Arch Linux, Fedora and soon F-Droid and Guix too

- Continuously testing Debian testing, unstable and experimental
- on amd64 and i386 and armhf
- Also testing: coreboot, OpenWrt, NetBSD, FreeBSD, Arch Linux, Fedora and soon F-Droid and Guix too
- 283 jenkins jobs running on 28 hosts
- 41 scripts with a total of 4k lines of Python and 6k lines of Bash Shell
- 31 contributors for jenkins.debian.net.git

### CPU architectures on tests.r-b.org

- amd64 and i386: 106 cores and 282 GB RAM split on 9 VMs
- most ressources used for testing Debian...
- sponsored by https://profitbricks.co.uk since 2014 (2012)

## The laaS-Company.

armhf: 18 nodes with 70 cores and 35 GB RAM sponsored by Debian
arm64: coming soon

Holger 'h01ger' Levsen (Debian)

### Variations (when testing Debian)

variation	first build	second build
hostname	jenkins	i-capture-the-hostname
domainname	debian.net	i-capture-the-domainname
env TZ	GMT+12	GMT-14
env LANG	C	fr_CH.UTF-8
env LC_ALL	not set	fr_CH.UTF-8
env USER	pbuilder1	pbuilder2
uid	1111	2222
gid	1111	2222
shell	dash	bash
UTS namespace	shared with the host	modified using /usr/bin/unshareuts
kernel version	Linux 3.16 or 4.X	on amd64 and i386 always varied, on armhf sometimes
32 vs 64 bit kernel	one or the other	only varied on i386
umask	0022	0002
CPU type	Intel and AMD variation for i386 and amd64 (work in progress)	
	on armhf varied a bit	
filesystem	same for both builds on amd64: (tmpfs), on i386 and armhf ext3/4	
		(and we have disorderfs, but the code is disabled)
year, month, date	on amd64 and i386: 398 days variation, on armhf not yet	
hour, minute	hour is usually the same usually, the minute differs	
everything else	is likely the same	

### Common problems

• time stamps

Holger 'h01ger' Levsen (Debian)

### Common problems

- time stamps
- timezones
- Iocales

### Common problems

- time stamps
- timezones
- Iocales

 everything else (seperated into known issues and the blurry rest)
### Documentation about common problems

• https://reproducible-builds.org/docs Lunar's talk from CCCamp 2015 also on https://media.ccc.de



### Debugging problems: diffoscope

- Examines differences in depth.
- Outputs HTML or plain text with human readable differences.
- Recursively unpacks archives, uncompresses PDFs, disassembles binaries, unpacks Gettext files, ...
- Easy to extend to new file formats.
- Falls back to binary comparison.
- Available from git, PyPI, Debian (sid and stretch), Fedora, Arch Linux, FreeBSD, NetBSD, Guix, Homebrew..
- Maintainers (upstream and in other distros) wanted
- https://diffoscope.org/

### diffoscope example (HTML output)

51431 <sub>13611</sub> );	51438 <sub>13542</sub> );
51432INSERT INTO "targets" VALUES('ttu.ee',13611);	51439INSERT INTO "targets" VALUES('ttu.ee',13542);
51433[ 9300 lines removed ]	51440[·9314·lines·removed·]
60733CREATE TABLE git_commit	60754CREATE TABLE git_commit
60734·····(git_commit·TEXT);	60755······(git_commit·TEXT);
60735INSERT INTO "git_commit" VALUES('cd09fb8c2161a 8d1280b848eaab3b14d35fe3044');	60756 bf6c877dc675cdb4f1b719e7519');
60736COMMIT;	60757COMMIT;

#### install.rdf

Offset 5, 15 lines modified Offset 5, 15 lines modified			
5	<pre>work = "work"</pre>	5	<pre>work &gt;&gt; work = "urn:mozilla:install- manifest"&gt;</pre>
6	<pre></pre>	6	<pre></pre>
7	<pre></pre>	7	<pre></pre>
8	<pre>content/aboutURL&gt;chrome://https-everywhere/ content/about.xul</pre>	8	<pre>content/about.xul</pre>
9	<pre></pre>	9	<pre><em:id>https-everywhere@eff.org</em:id></pre>
10	Extension>	10	Extension>
11	Automatically use HTTPS security on many sites. 	11	Automatically use HTTPS security on many sites. 
12	<pre><code <code="" conte<="" content="" td=""><td>12</td><td><pre><code <<="" control="" pre=""></code></pre></td></code></pre>	12	<pre><code <<="" control="" pre=""></code></pre>
13	<pre>www.sem.multiprocessCompatible&gt;truemultiprocessCompatible&gt;</pre>	13	<pre>weight control co</pre>

ripe72

25 / 69



### • https://try.diffoscope.org



Holger 'h01ger' Levsen (Debian)

Reproducible builds ecosystem

### diffoscope is "just" for debugging

• Reminder: diffoscope is for debugging



Holger 'h01ger' Levsen (Debian)

Reproducible builds ecosystem

### diffoscope is "just" for debugging

- Reminder: diffoscope is for debugging
- "reproducible" according to our definition means: bit by bit identical. So the tools for testing whether something is reproducible are either diff or sha256sum!

• Build date (timestamps) usually not useful for the user

Holger 'h01ger' Levsen (Debian)

ripe72 28 / 69

- Build date (timestamps) usually not useful for the user
- SOURCE\_DATE\_EPOCH is defined as the last modification of the source, since the epoch (1970-01-01)
- SOURCE\_DATE\_EPOCH can be used instead of current date
- can also be used for random seeds etc.

- Build date (timestamps) usually not useful for the user
- SOURCE\_DATE\_EPOCH is defined as the last modification of the source, since the epoch (1970-01-01)
- SOURCE\_DATE\_EPOCH can be used instead of current date
- can also be used for random seeds etc.
- in Debian, set from the latest debian/changelog entry
- solution has been adopted by other projects & distributions (NetBSD, FreeBSD, Arch Linux, Guix, Fedora...)

## SOURCE\_DATE\_EPOCH (closed bugs)

- dh-strip-nondeterminism
- gcc (\_\_DATE\_\_ and \_\_TIME\_\_ macros)
  - https://gcc.gnu.org/ml/gcc-patches/2015-06/msg02210.html
- #791823: debhelper
- #787444: help2man
- #790899: epydoc
- #794004: ghostscript
- <del>#796130</del>: man2html
- #783475: texi2html
- #794586: ocamldoc
- #795942: wheel
- #792202: texlive-bin

- SOURCE\_DATE\_EPOCH spec availble
- https://reproducible-builds.org/specs/

Holger 'h01ger' Levsen (Debian)



- 2 Common ressources
- 3 Status Debian
- Status Non-Debian World
- 5 Future work
- Getting involved
  - Questions, comments, ideas?

### Progress in Debian unstable

Reproducibility status for packages in 'unstable' for 'amd64



014-10-01 2014-11-01 2014-12-02 2015-01-02 2015-02-02 2015-03-05 2015-04-05 2015-05-06 2015-06-06 2015-07-07 2015-08-07 2015-09-07 2015-10-08 2015-11-08 2015-12-09 2016-01-09 2016-02-09 2016-03-11 2016-04-11 2016-05-12

21,365 (88.5%) out of 24,135 source packages are reproducible in our test framework<2>90.1% in testing/amd64

Holger 'h01ger' Levsen (Debian)

Reproducible builds ecosystem

ripe72 32 / 69

- 194 categorised distinct issues
- 3,085 notes

- 194 categorised distinct issues
- 3,085 notes
- 1879 unreproducible packages in sid/amd64, but only 227 without a note
- 516 packages failing to build, but only 92 without a note

- 194 categorised distinct issues
- 3,085 notes
- 1879 unreproducible packages in sid/amd64, but only 227 without a note
- 516 packages failing to build, but only 92 without a note
- maintained in notes.git

- 194 categorised distinct issues
- 3,085 notes
- 1879 unreproducible packages in sid/amd64, but only 227 without a note
- 516 packages failing to build, but only 92 without a note
- maintained in notes.git
- currently Debian only, but cross distro notes are planned

Debian packages on tests.reproducible-builds.org

https://reproducible.debian.net/\$src

Holger 'h01ger' Levsen (Debian)

Reproducible builds ecosystem

ripe72 34 / 69

### Debian package sets on tests.r-b.org

Reproducibility status for packages in unstable from 'required



2015-01-07 2015-02-02 2015-02-02 2015-03-26 2015-03-21 2015-05-17 2015-06-12 2015-07-08 2015-08-03 2015-08-29 2015-09-24 2015-10-20 2015-11-15 2015-12-11 2016-01-06 2016-02-01 2016-02-27 2016-03-24 2016-04-19 2016-05-15

35 different "package sets", eg. required is only 73.1% reproducible

Holger 'h01ger' Levsen (Debian)

Reproducible builds ecosystem

ripe72 35 / 69

### Debian package sets on tests.r-b.org

Reproducibility status for packages in unstable from 'key packages



Debian's key\_packages are 84.5% reproducible, but 435 packages (12.8%) will still need to be fixed

Holger 'h01ger' Levsen (Debian)

Reproducible builds ecosystem

ripe72 36 / 69

### Progress in the Debian bug tracker

Open and closed bugs (with all usertags except tagged 'ftbfs')



2014-10-14 2014-11-14 2014-12-15 2015-01-15 2015-02-15 2015-03-18 2015-04-18 2015-05-19 2015-06-19 2015-07-20 2015-08-20 2015-09-20 2015-10-21 2015-11-21 2015-12-22 2016-01-22 2016-03-24 2016-04-24

#### As a rule, we file bugs with patches. There were very few exceptions.

Holger 'h01ger' Levsen (Debian)

Reproducible builds ecosystem

ripe72 37 / 69

### What we did in Debian

- Agreed on using a fixed build path: /build/
- Recording the build environment: .buildinfo
- strip-nondeterminism
- diffoscope (formerly debbindiff)
- SOURCE\_DATE\_EPOCH
- disorderfs
- 1600+ patches: dpkg, debhelper, sbuild, ...
- 4 packages modified to achive those 88% (90.1%)

Detour: Reproducible builds demand a defined build environment

- …and being able to re-create this build environment is mandatory too.
- Without an *sufficiently identical* build environment, reproducible builds will only happen by sheer luck.

Detour: Reproducible builds demand a defined build environment

- …and being able to re-create this build environment is mandatory too.
- Without an *sufficiently identical* build environment, reproducible builds will only happen by sheer luck.
- I've only verified for Debian so far... koji is designed for that too, Guix as well...
- I'd very much like to be corrected here, with tests.

### Debian .buildinfo files

• Aggregates in the same file:

- Sources (checksums)
- Generated binaries (checksums)
- Packages used to build (with specific version, checksums coming soon)
- Can be later used to exactly recreate environment
- For Debian, all versions are available from snapshot.debian.org

### .buildinfo files elsewhere

- neither used nor specified elsewhere
- it's clear we need something like them
- it's clear what needs to be specified
- it "just" needs to be done...

### .buildinfo files elsewhere

- neither used nor specified elsewhere
- it's clear we need something like them
- it's clear what needs to be specified
- it "just" needs to be done...
- and it needs to be done we need "API"s to define inputs and outputs, these "API"s will be different in their implementation but the basic principiples will be the same. Without .buildinfo files reproducible rebuild are not doable in practice...

• Weekly reports since May 2015

- Weekly reports since May 2015
- First Reproducible World Summit in December 2015 (Athens, Greece)
  - 40 people from 16 projects

- Weekly reports since May 2015
- First Reproducible World Summit in December 2015 (Athens, Greece)
  - 40 people from 16 projects
  - another summit in second half 2016, somewhere in Europe

- Weekly reports since May 2015
- First Reproducible World Summit in December 2015 (Athens, Greece)
  - 40 people from 16 projects
  - another summit in second half 2016, somewhere in Europe
- 2 GSoC students in 2015, totally new contributors, totally rocking
- 4 GSoC and Outreachy students in 2016

### debian-policy

# • Section 4.15: "Sources **must** build reproducible binaries."

### debian-policy

- Section 4.15: "Sources **must** build reproducible binaries."
- We hope this will happen after stretch (Debian 9) release

debian-policy

- Section 4.15: "Sources **must** build reproducible binaries."
- We hope this will happen after stretch (Debian 9) release
- In 2016: "Sources shall build reproducible binaries." ?

### Summary

- This is just a proof-of-concept, Debian is not 90% reproducible, Debian is 0% reproducible.
- Patches still need to be merged (until the end of the year)

### Summary

- This is just a proof-of-concept, Debian is not 90% reproducible, Debian is 0% reproducible.
- Patches still need to be merged (until the end of the year)
- I hope that Debian 9, "stretch", will be *partially reproducible in a meaningful way*
- This is just a proof-of-concept, Debian is not 90% reproducible, Debian is 0% reproducible.
- Patches still need to be merged (until the end of the year)
- I hope that Debian 9, "stretch", will be *partially reproducible in a meaningful way*
- Debian unstable still needs changes to dpkg and ftp.debian.org (for keeping .buildinfo files)

- This is just a proof-of-concept, Debian is not 90% reproducible, Debian is 0% reproducible.
- Patches still need to be merged (until the end of the year)
- I hope that Debian 9, "stretch", will be *partially reproducible in a meaningful way*
- Debian unstable still needs changes to dpkg and ftp.debian.org (for keeping .buildinfo files)
- what's beyond (rebuilding, .buildinfo file signing and distribution, user tools) mostly still needs design and code



- 2 Common ressources
- 3 Status Debian
- 4 Status Non-Debian World
  - 5 Future work
- 6 Getting involved
  - Questions, comments, ideas?

### Status coreboot

- https://tests.r-b.org/coreboot
- 99.2% reproducible with seabios payload
- tests maintained by Alexander 'lynxis' Couzens
- unclear what the next steps are... they don't release binaries...
- needs involvement from coreboot developers

### Status OpenWrt

- https://tests.r-b.org/coreboot
- selected images are 100% reproducible and selected packages 99.7%
- using 13 patches send upstream on January 25th
- tests maintained by Alexander 'lynxis' Couzens and Bryan Newbold
- recreating the build env: needs to checked in practice
- user verification tools: not yet



### Status NetBSD

- https://tests.r-b.org/netbsd
- 21 (38.8%) out of 54 built NetBSD files are reproducible
- tests maintained by Thomas 'wiz' Klausner and h01ger
- MKREPRO=yes
- MK\_TIMESTAMP=\$SOURCE\_DATE\_EPOCH
- recreating the build env: ?

( Net R

### Status FreeBSD

- https://tests.r-b.org/freebsd
- base system not yet reproducible, but almost there
- 63% of 15k ports were reproducible in 2013 already, their wiki says
- tests maintained by h01ger so far...
- recreating the build env: ?



### Status Fedora

- https://tests.r-b.org/fedora (23)
- maintained by Dhiru Kholia and h01ger
- rpm repo available by Dhiru, but still 0% reproducible
- first patch for rpm merged
- rpm format includes build time and build host and signatures...
- recreating the build env: koji
- next: first reproducible rpm, use koji



ripe72 50 / 69

### Status Fedora

- https://tests.r-b.org/fedora (23)
- maintained by Dhiru Kholia and h01ger
- rpm repo available by Dhiru, but still 0% reproducible
- first patch for rpm merged
- rpm format includes build time and build host and signatures...
- recreating the build env: koji
- next: first reproducible rpm, use koji
- help/patches from SuSE? :)



### Status Arch Linux

- https://tests.r-b.org/archlinux
- maintained by Levente 'anthraxx' Polyak and h01ger
- reproducible patches available for pacman by anthraxx
- recreating the build env: unaddressed

### Status F-Droid

- not yet: https://tests.r-b.org/f-droid
- maintained by Hans-Christoph Steiner and h01ger
- work has just begun...

### Unmentioned, with known activities

- Bitcoin, Tor,
- Signal
- OpenSUSE (could be tested easily...)
- Ubuntu
- Guix, NixOS
- ElectroBSD
- Qubes, TAILS, Subgraph OS
- commercial, propietary Software

• ?

# Detour: what, reproducible commercial Software???

Guess which

Detour: what, reproducible commercial Software???

- Guess which
- Microsoft Windows? (the source is available)
- medical devices in your body?
- arms?
- o critical infrastructure like in nuclear powerplants?
- o cars?

Detour: what, reproducible commercial Software???

- Guess which
- Microsoft Windows? (the source is available)
- medical devices in your body?
- arms?
- o critical infrastructure like in nuclear powerplants?
- o cars?
- Gambling machines!

# Unmentioned, unknown activities?

OpenBSD
Gentoo (stage1)
?



- 2 Common ressources
- 3 Status Debian
- 4 Status Non-Debian World
- 5 Future work
  - Getting involved
  - Questions, comments, ideas?

### Distributing .buildinfo files

- Probably 100,000 new files per Debian suite; 50% increase per suite
- Mirrors would not be happy, so should not go there

### Distributing .buildinfo files

- Probably 100,000 new files per Debian suite; 50% increase per suite
- Mirrors would not be happy, so should not go there
- We'll need more files with detached signatures...
- Revoking signatures?

# Rebuilders and sharing signed checksums

Almost no work has been done here yet.

# Rebuilders and sharing signed checksums

- Almost no work has been done here yet.
- Different projects, different solutions?

Rebuilders and sharing signed checksums, cont.

 Individuelly signed checksums (think web of trust) could work in the Debian case (we have a gpg web of trust), but IMO won't scale.

# Rebuilders and sharing signed checksums, cont.

- Individuelly signed checksums (think web of trust) could work in the Debian case (we have a gpg web of trust), but IMO won't scale.
- Another idea: rebuilders, run by large organisations (ACLU, CCC, CERN, Deutsche Bank, EDF, EON, Greenpeace, NASA, NSA, XYZ).

# Rebuilders and sharing signed checksums, cont.

- Individuelly signed checksums (think web of trust) could work in the Debian case (we have a gpg web of trust), but IMO won't scale.
- Another idea: rebuilders, run by large organisations (ACLU, CCC, CERN, Deutsche Bank, EDF, EON, Greenpeace, NASA, NSA, XYZ).
- Fedora rebuilds Debian, Debian rebuilds OpenSUSE, OpenSUSE rebuilds NetBSD, etc...

• "Do you really want to install this unreproducible software (y/N)"

- "Do you really want to install this unreproducible software (y/N)"
- "Do you want to build those packages which unconfirmed checksums, before installing? (Y/n)"

- "Do you really want to install this unreproducible software (y/N)"
- "Do you want to build those packages which unconfirmed checksums, before installing? (Y/n)"
- "How many signed checksums do you require to call a package 'reproducible'?"

- "Do you really want to install this unreproducible software (y/N)"
- "Do you want to build those packages which unconfirmed checksums, before installing? (Y/n)"
- "How many signed checksums do you require to call a package 'reproducible'?"
- "Which rebuilders do you want to trust?"

- We've come a long way.
- We've made impressive progress.
- We're still not nearly where we want to be.

- We've come a long way.
- We've made impressive progress.
- We're still not nearly where we want to be.
- In fact, it's still fully not clear where we need to be going.
- We've shown it's technically feasable, now we need to create policies and processes!

- We've come a long way.
- We've made impressive progress.
- We're still not nearly where we want to be.
- In fact, it's still fully not clear where we need to be going.
- We've shown it's technically feasable, now we need to create policies and processes!
- Keep up the great work!
- Join the fun! There are many big and small things to do!



- 2 Common ressources
- 3 Status Debian
- 4) Status Non-Debian World
- Future work
- 6 Getting involved

Questions, comments, ideas?

### As a software developer

#### • Merge our patches

Holger 'h01ger' Levsen (Debian)

### As a software developer

- Merge our patches
- Stop using build dates
- Use SOURCE\_DATE\_EPOCH instead

• See https://reproducible-builds.org/specs/

# Getting involved - learning by doing

#### • Test for yourself:

- Build something twice, run diffoscope on the results
  - For better results use our "reproducible" repository, pbuilder and a custom config

#### • Docs on the web:

https://reproducible-builds.org/docs/
https://wiki.debian.org/ReproducibleBuilds/
ExperimentalToolchain

Ask for help on IRC or on our mailing lists

## Join the Reproducible builds team(s)!

### • Why?

- vov Lovely group of people vov
- Learn something new everyday
- Change the (software) world!
- What do we do?
  - Review packages
  - Identify issues and document solutions
  - tests.r-b.o, diffoscope, strip-nondeterminism
  - Propose changes for toolchain
  - Submit patches for individual packages
  - Write more general documentation and talk to the world


- 2 Common ressources
- 3 Status Debian
- 4 Status Non-Debian World
- Future work
- Getting involved
- Questions, comments, ideas?

# Questions, comments, ideas?

Holger 'h01ger' Levsen (Debian)

Reproducible builds ecosystem

ripe72 67 / 69

### Questions, comments, ideas?

- https://reproducible-builds.org/docs
- https://tests.reproducible-builds.org
- #reproducible-builds on irc.OFTC.net
- and/or #debian-reproducible too!

#### Questions, comments, ideas?

- https://reproducible-builds.org/docs
- https://tests.reproducible-builds.org
- #reproducible-builds on irc.OFTC.net
- and/or #debian-reproducible too!
- https://lists.reproducible-builds.org
- https://twitter.com/ReproBuild

## Thanks to ... ! ... and thank you, too!

- Debian "Reproducible Builds" team (you are just **so** awesome!)
- Linux Foundation and the Core Infrastructure Initiative





holger@debian.org B8BF 5413 7B09 D35C F026 FE9D 091A B856 069A AA1C

## Thanks to ... ! ... and thank you, too!

- All "Reproducible Builds" teams (you are just **so** awesome!)
- Linux Foundation and the Core Infrastructure Initiative





holger@debian.org B8BF 5413 7B09 D35C F026 FE9D 091A B856 069A AA1C Copyright © 2014-2016 Holger Levsen holger@layer-acht.org and others.

Copyright of images included in this document are held by their respective owners.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Share Alike 3/20 License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-sa/3.0/ or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.

The source of this document is available from https://anonscm.debian.org/git/reproducible/presentations.git.